This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATI		
10/674,923	09/30/2003	Michael J. Dougherty	200304427-2	5162	
7590 07/30/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER		
			CERULLO, JEREMY S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2112		

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/674,92	23	DOUGHERTY ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jeremy S.	Cerullo	2112			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status			·				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,10,20 and 24-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,10,20 and 24-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
		.					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	O-948) TO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/674,923

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 10, 20, and 24-29 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 10, 20, 26, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The modification of USB interfaces critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s), is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The USB specification states that: "No device shall supply (source) current on VBUS at its upstream facing port at any time. From VBUS on its upstream port, a device may only draw (sink) current." (Universal Serial Bus Specification Revision 2.0, Section 7.2.1, last paragraph; April 27, 2000) By claiming powering of the laptop by a device over USB power lines, the invention is not enabled because it is not within the scope of the USB specification to do so.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2112

4. Claims 10, 20, 26, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The applicant claims the powering of a laptop computer by a device over USB power lines. The USB specification states that: "No device shall supply (source) current on VBUS at its upstream facing port at any time. From VBUS on its upstream port, a device may only draw (sink) current." (Universal Serial Bus Specification Revision 2.0, Section 7.2.1, last paragraph; April 27, 2000) The claim is therefor indefinite because the use of the term USB is different from the accepted standard use.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1 and 24-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,923

Art Unit: 2112

Patent No. 6,668,296. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,296 contains all of the limitations of claims 1 and 24-27 of the instant application.

- 7. Claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,296 contains every element of claims 24-27 of the instant application and as such anticipates claims 24-27 of the instant application.
- 8. Claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,668,296 contains every element of claim 1 of the instant application and as such anticipates claim 1 of the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of

35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 11. Claims 24-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bard (U.S. Patent No. 6,530,026). Bard teaches a power distribution system for distributing power between devices over a communication bus (Claims 1-2). More specifically, Bard explicitly suggests the use of an IEEE 1394 compliant bus to enable a docking station to provide power to a notebook computer (Column 1, lines 22-25). Therefor, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would be motivated by Bard to use a docking station to power a docked laptop computer over an IEEE 1394 compliant bus.
- 12. Claims 1 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bard as applied to claims 24-25 above, and further in view of Cho (U.S. Patent No. 6,119,237). Cho teaches a method for determining if a laptop computer is capable of receiving power from a docking station (Figure 6 and Column 9, lines 6-18). In order to protect the circuitry of both the laptop computer and the docking station, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would use a method of determining the capability of the computer to receive power as taught by Cho in the power distribution system taught by Bard.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy S. Cerullo whose telephone number

Art Unit: 2112

is (703) 305-6435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:45-4:15; Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark H. Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSC

MARK M. AMERIATI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SOUTHOLOGY CENTER 2100